

THE WEYL CALCULUS AND CLIFFORD ANALYSIS

BRIAN JEFFERIES AND ALAN MCINTOSH

ABSTRACT. A note is made on the connection between Clifford analysis and the Weyl functional calculus for an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators which do not necessarily commute with each other.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The spectral theorem for a selfadjoint operator T acting on a Hilbert space H facilitates the expression of a function $f(T)$ of T in terms of an integral $f(T) = \int_{\sigma(A)} f(\sigma) dP(\sigma)$ with respect to a spectral measure P . In general, no such representation is possible for an n -tuple $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ of non-commuting bounded selfadjoint operators acting on a Hilbert space H . Nevertheless, the Weyl functional calculus $f \mapsto f_W(A)$ for A is a means of constructing functions $f_W(A)$ of the system A of operators, for suitable smooth functions f defined on \mathbb{R}^n . It was proposed by H. Weyl for the pair (P, Q) of unbounded self adjoint operators, where P is the momentum operator and Q is the position operator in quantum mechanics. For the case $n = 2$, the function $\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 x_2$, for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to the domain of the Weyl functional calculus and $(\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2)_W(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A_1 A_2 + A_2 A_1)$. In general, polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n are mapped by the Weyl functional calculus into the corresponding polynomials in the n -tuple A of operators, but with products suitably symmetrised.

A similar phenomenon emerges in Clifford analysis. A *monogenic* function f defined on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and with values in a finite dimensional Clifford algebra, is a function lying in the kernel of the Dirac operator — a higher dimensional analogue of the Cauchy-Riemann equations. Every analytic function in n real variables has a unique monogenic extension to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The monogenic extensions \mathbf{z}_1 and \mathbf{z}_2 of the functions $\mathbf{x}_1 : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_2$, respectively, are easily written down. It turns out that for $n = 2$, the monogenic extension of the real valued function $\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2$ to \mathbb{R}^3 is $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{z}_2 \mathbf{z}_1)$, and, in general, the monogenic extension of polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n are the corresponding polynomials in the n -tuple $(\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n)$ of monogenic functions, but with products suitably symmetrised. The purpose of the present note is to elaborate on this formal similarity between the Weyl and Clifford calculi.

The present work may be formulated in terms of hermitian operators acting on a Banach space in place of selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space, along the lines

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A60 46H30 ;Secondary 47A25, 30G35.

Key words and phrases. Weyl functional calculus, Clifford algebra, monogenic function.

of [A1], or in terms of bounded operators on a Banach space satisfying a growth condition, as in [MP, Condition 8.1]. However, the essential features are already present in the selfadjoint case addressed here.

At one point in section 5, some facts concerning the integration of vector valued functions are needed. Suppose that $(\Sigma, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ is a measure space and E is a sequentially complete locally convex space. Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow E$ be a function for which there exist E -valued μ -integrable \mathcal{S} -simple functions $s_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that $s_n \rightarrow f$ μ -a.e., and for every continuous seminorm p on E , $\int_{\Sigma} p(s_n - s_m) d\mu \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Then the integral $\int_A f d\mu$ of f with respect to μ , over a set $A \in \mathcal{S}$, is defined to be the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A s_n d\mu$. The limit is independent of the approximating sequence $s_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$; such a function f is said to be *Bochner μ -integrable*. It follows immediately that for a continuous linear map $T : E \rightarrow F$ between sequentially complete locally convex spaces E and F , if f is Bochner μ -integrable, then $T \circ f$ is Bochner μ -integrable and $T(\int_A f d\mu) = \int_A T \circ f d\mu$ for all $A \in \mathcal{S}$. A bounded continuous function with values in a Fréchet space or LF -space is Bochner integrable with respect to any finite regular Borel measure.

The basic notions of Clifford algebras are outlined in section 2. Notation concerning Banach modules and Banach module homomorphisms is introduced in section 3. General information concerning Clifford analysis is presented in section 4. The connection between the Weyl and the Clifford calculi appeals to Theorem 5.4 of section 5, which is actually proved not just for the Weyl functional calculus, but for any operator valued distribution with compact support. In section 6, we identify the complement of the support of the Weyl functional calculus for an n -tuple A of selfadjoints with a certain ‘resolvent set’ where the Cauchy kernel for A is monogenic. Similar ideas have been advanced by V. Kisil [K], but Example 6.3 shows that the domain of monogenicity of the Cauchy kernel for a pair \mathbf{T} of Pauli matrices cannot be calculated in the fashion of [K, Definition 3.1], so [K, formulae (9), (10)] as they stand are incorrect with the spectrum $\sigma(\mathbf{T})$ defined in [K, Definition 3.1].

2. CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS.

Let \mathbb{F} be either the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers or the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. The *Clifford algebra* $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ over \mathbb{F} is a 2^n -dimensional algebra with unit defined as follows. Given the standard basis vectors e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n of the vector space \mathbb{F}^{n+1} , the basis vectors e_S of $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ are indexed by all finite subsets S of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The basis vectors are determined by the following rules for multiplication on $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= 1, \\ e_j^2 &= -1, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n \\ e_j e_k &= -e_k e_j = e_{\{j,k\}}, & \text{for } 1 \leq j < k \leq n \\ e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_s} &= e_S, & \text{if } 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_s \leq n \text{ and } S = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the identifications $e_0 = e_{\emptyset}$ and $e_j = e_{\{j\}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ have been made.

The product of two elements $u = \sum_S u_S e_S, u_S \in \mathbb{F}$ and $v = \sum_S v_S e_S, v_S \in \mathbb{F}$ is $uv = \sum_{S,R} u_S v_R e_{S \cup R}$. According to the rules for multiplication, $e_S e_R$ is ± 1 times a basis vector of $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$. The *scalar part* of $u = \sum_S u_S e_S, u_S \in \mathbb{F}$ is the term u_{\emptyset} , also denoted as u_0 .

The Clifford algebras $\mathbb{R}_{(0)}, \mathbb{R}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{(2)}$ are the real, complex numbers and the quaternions, respectively.

The conjugate $\overline{e_S}$ of a basis element e_S is defined so that $e_S \overline{e_S} = \overline{e_S} e_S = 1$. Denote the complex conjugate of a number $c \in \mathbb{F}$ by \overline{c} . Then the operation of *conjugation* $u \mapsto \overline{u}$ defined by $\overline{u} = \sum_S \overline{u_S} \overline{e_S}$ for every $u = \sum_S u_S e_S, u_S \in \mathbb{F}$ is an involution of the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$. Then $\overline{uv} = \overline{v} \overline{u}$ for all elements u and v of $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$.

An inner product is defined on $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ by the formula $(u, v) = [u\overline{v}]_0 = \sum u_S \overline{v_S}$ for every $u = \sum_S u_S e_S$ and $v = \sum_S v_S e_S$ belonging to $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$. The corresponding norm is written as $|\cdot|$.

Suppose that $m \leq n$ are positive integers. The vector space \mathbb{R}^m is identified with a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ by virtue of the embedding $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^m x_j e_j$. On writing the coordinates of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, the space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is identified with a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ with the embedding $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^n x_j e_j$.

3. BANACH MODULES.

A Banach space X with norm $\|\cdot\|$ over \mathbb{F} with an operation of multiplication by elements of $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ turning it into a two-sided module over $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ is called a *Banach module* over $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$, if there exists a $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\|xu\| \leq C|u|\|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|ux\| \leq C|u|\|x\|$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ and $x \in X$. The vector space of all continuous module homomorphisms from a Banach module X to a Banach module Y is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(X, Y)$. Thus, a bounded linear map $A : X \rightarrow Y$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(X, Y)$ if $(Ax)u = A(xu)$ for all $x \in X$ and $u \in \mathbb{F}_{(n)}$. Both $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(X, Y)$ and the space $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ of continuous linear operators from X to Y are considered as Banach spaces over \mathbb{F} with the uniform operator norm $\|\cdot\|$.

The algebraic tensor product $X_{(n)} = X \otimes \mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ of a Banach space X over \mathbb{F} with $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ is a Banach module. Elements of $X_{(n)}$ may be viewed as finite sums $u = \sum_S x_S \otimes e_S$ of tensor products of elements x_S of X with basis vectors e_S of $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$. Multiplication in $X_{(n)}$ by elements of λ the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ is defined by $u\lambda = \sum_S x_S \otimes (e_S \lambda)$ and $\lambda u = \sum_S x_S \otimes (\lambda e_S)$. The tensor product notation $x_S \otimes e_S$ is written simply as $x_S e_S$. The norm on $X_{(n)}$ is taken to be $\|u\| = (\sum_S \|x_S\|_X^2)^{1/2}$.

The analogous procedure applies to a locally convex space E to define the module $E_{(n)}$ with its induced locally convex topology. If E and F are two locally convex spaces, then the spaces $(\mathcal{L}(E, F))_{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(E_{(n)}, F_{(n)})$ are identified by defining the operation of $T = \sum_S T_S e_S$ on $u = \sum_S u_S e_S$ as $T(u) = \sum_{S, S'} T_S(u_{S'}) e_S e_{S'}$. Given $x \in E$ and $\xi \in F'$, the element $\langle Tx, \xi \rangle \in \mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ is defined for each $T = \sum_S T_S e_S$ belonging to $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(E_{(n)}, F_{(n)})$ by $\langle Tx, \xi \rangle = \sum_S \langle T_S x, \xi \rangle e_S$.

4. CLIFFORD ANALYSIS.

What is usually called *Clifford analysis* is the study of functions of finitely many real variables, which take values in a Clifford algebra, and which satisfy higher dimensional analogues of the Cauchy-Riemann equations.

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ has a unique representation $f = \sum_S f_S e_S$ in terms of \mathbb{F} -valued functions $f_S, S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ in the sense that $f(x) = \sum_S f_S(x) e_S$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then f is continuous, differentiable and so on, in the normed space $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$, if and only if for all finite subsets S of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, its scalar component functions f_S have the corresponding property. Let ∂_j be the operator of

differentiation of a scalar function in the j 'th coordinate in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} —the coordinates of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are written as $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. For a continuously differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ with $f = \sum_S f_S e_S$, the function Df is defined by setting $Df = \sum_S (\partial_0 f_S e_S + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f_S e_j e_S)$ and fD is specified by $fD = \sum_S (\partial_0 f_S e_S + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f_S e_S e_j)$.

Now suppose that f is an $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ -valued, continuously differentiable function defined in a neighbourhood of an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then f is said to be *left monogenic* in U if $Df(x) = 0$ for all $x \in U$ and *right monogenic* in U if $fD(x) = 0$ for all $x \in U$. A function which is both left and right monogenic is simply said to be *monogenic*.

For each $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the function G_z defined by $G_z(x) = 1/\sigma_n |z - x|^{-n-1} (\overline{z - x})$, for each $x \neq z$ is monogenic. Here the volume of the unit n -sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} has been denoted by σ_n and we have used the identification of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with a subspace of $\mathbb{R}_{(n)}$ mentioned in section 2.

The function $G_z, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ plays a special role in Clifford analysis. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and exterior unit normal $n(\omega)$ defined for all $\omega \in \partial\Omega$. For any left monogenic function f defined in a neighbourhood U of $\overline{\Omega}$, the Cauchy integral formula

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} G_\omega(x) n(\omega) f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in \Omega; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

is valid. Here μ is the surface measure of $\partial\Omega$. The result is proved in [BDS, Corollary 9.6]. If g is right monogenic in U then $\int_{\partial\Omega} g(\omega) n(\omega) f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = 0$ [BDS, Corollary 9.3].

The definition of monogenicity extends readily to other vector and operator valued functions. We remark here that, as in the case of vector valued analytic functions, a function is monogenic for the weak topology of a locally convex module $E_{(n)}$ if and only if it is monogenic for the original topology. Moreover, for a Banach space E , if $g : U \rightarrow E_{(n)}$ is right monogenic and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ is left monogenic, then the function $\omega \mapsto g(\omega) n(\omega) f(\omega)$, $\omega \in \partial\Omega$, is Bochner μ -integrable in $E_{(n)}$ and $\int_{\partial\Omega} g(\omega) n(\omega) f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = 0$. In particular, this is valid in the case that X is a Banach space and $E = \mathcal{L}(X)$ with the uniform operator norm. It follows from the principle of uniform boundedness and the Cauchy integral formula that an $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued function is norm monogenic when it is monogenic for the weak or strong operator topologies.

5. THE WEYL FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS.

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be bounded selfadjoint operators acting on a Hilbert space H with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The *Weyl functional calculus* [T],[A1] is a means of forming functions $f_W(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ of the n -tuple $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ of operators. The operators A_1, \dots, A_n do not necessarily commute with each other, so there is no fundamentally unique way of forming such functions. However, the Weyl functional calculus is determined by a few natural conditions, see [A1, Theorem 2.4].

For every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\langle A, \xi \rangle = \langle \xi, A \rangle$ denotes the selfadjoint operator $\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j$. The operator $e^{i\langle A, \xi \rangle}$ is therefore unitary for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The Fourier transform \hat{f} of a function f integrable over \mathbb{R}^n is defined by $\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} f(x) dx$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The integral $f_W(A) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle A, \xi \rangle} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$ is an operator valued Bochner integral for each function f belonging to the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of rapidly decreasing functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Then the mapping $f \mapsto f_W(A)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Weyl functional calculus for the n -tuple A of selfadjoint operators.

The set \mathbb{R}^n is identified with the subspace $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_0 = 0\}$ of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . It turns out that there exists a unique operator valued distribution $\mathcal{W}_A : f \mapsto f_W(A)$, $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined over the test function space $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all infinitely differentiable functions, such that the restriction of \mathcal{W}_A to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Weyl calculus for A . The support of this distribution is contained in the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n centred at zero and with radius $\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|A_j\|^2\right)^{1/2}$ [T, Theorem 1].

Suppose that U is an open neighbourhood of the support of \mathcal{W}_A in \mathbb{R}^n . There is no harm if we also call the distribution $\mathcal{W}_A : f \mapsto f_W(A)$ over $C^\infty(U)$ the Weyl functional calculus for A . Less transparently, perhaps, the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{W}_A \otimes I_{(n)} : C^\infty(V)_{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ of \mathcal{W}_A with the identity operator $I_{(n)}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ is also denoted just by \mathcal{W}_A . Here V is an open neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $C^\infty(V)_{(n)}$ is the locally convex module obtained by tensoring the locally convex space $C^\infty(V)$ with $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$, as mentioned in section 3. The mapping $\mathcal{W}_A : C^\infty(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ is defined by applying \mathcal{W}_A to the restriction of functions $f \in C^\infty(V)$ to the open subset $V \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ of \mathbb{R}^n . The map $\mathcal{W}_A : C^\infty(V)_{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ is a module homomorphism. The symbols $\mathcal{W}_A(f)$ and $f_W(A)$ are used interchangeably.

For any subset G of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , let $M(G, \mathbb{F}_{(n)})$ be the collection of all $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ -valued functions which are left monogenic in an open neighbourhood of G in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . If G is open in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then $M(G, \mathbb{F}_{(n)})$ is given the compact-open topology (uniform convergence on every compact subset of G). If K is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then $M(K, \mathbb{F}_{(n)})$ is the union of all spaces $M(U, \mathbb{F}_{(n)})$, as U ranges over the open sets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} containing K . Equipped with the inductive limit topology, $M(K, \mathbb{F}_{(n)})$ becomes an LF -space [BDS, Theorem 9.11].

The support $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$ of the distribution \mathcal{W}_A , which is independent of the particular meaning attached to it above, is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Let U be an open neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$ in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose that the function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic. Let \tilde{f} be a monogenic extension of f to an open neighbourhood of U in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then according to the definition of $(\tilde{f})_W(A)$, the equality $(\tilde{f})_W(A) = f_W(A) \otimes I_{(n)}$ is valid. The Weierstrass convergence theorem for monogenic functions [BDS, Theorem 9.11] ensures that the Weyl calculus $\mathcal{W}_A : M(K, \mathbb{F}_{(n)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ is a continuous module homomorphism. Then $M(K, \mathbb{F}_{(n)})$ becomes a topological algebra under the C-K product [BDS, p113], and the closed subspace $M(K, \mathbb{F})$ of $M(K, \mathbb{F}_{(n)})$ consisting of left monogenic extensions of \mathbb{F} -valued functions on K is a commutative topological algebra. The topological algebra $M(K, \mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic, via monogenic extension, to the topological algebra $H(K, \mathbb{F})$ of \mathbb{F} -valued functions analytic in an open neighbourhood of K with pointwise multiplication. The Weyl calculus is also continuous on this space.

Let X be a Banach space. A sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of X -valued functions $f_k : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is *normally summable* in X if there exists a summable sequence $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of nonnegative real numbers M_k such that $\|f_k(\omega)\| \leq M_k$, for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, a normally summable sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of X -valued functions on Ω is absolutely and uniformly summable on Ω .

Suppose that f is an analytic \mathbb{F} -valued function defined on an open neighbour-

hood of zero in of \mathbb{R}^n and the Taylor series of f is given by

$$(1) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{l_k=1}^n a_{l_1 \dots l_k} x_{l_1} \cdots x_{l_k},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in a neighbourhood of zero. The coefficients $a_{l_1 \dots l_k}$ are assumed to be symmetric in l_1, \dots, l_k . Then the unique monogenic extension \tilde{f} of f is

$$(2) \quad \tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} a_{l_1 \dots l_k} V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(x) \right)$$

for all x belonging to some neighbourhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Here, the sum $\sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} \cdots$ is over the set $\{1 \leq l_1 \leq \cdots \leq l_k \leq n\}$, and for $(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^k$, the function $V_{l_1 \dots l_k} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ is defined as follows. For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, the monogenic extension of the function $\mathbf{x}_j : x \mapsto x_j, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by $\mathbf{z}_j : x \mapsto x_j e_0 - x_0 e_j, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then $V_0(x) = e_0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $V_{l_1 \dots l_k} = 1/k! \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \mathbf{z}_{j_1} \cdots \mathbf{z}_{j_k}$, where the sum is over all distinguishable permutations of all of (l_1, \dots, l_k) , and products are in the sense of pointwise multiplication in $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$. If \tilde{f} is monogenic in the open ball $B_R(0)$ of radius R about zero in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then (2) converges normally in $B_R(0)$ [BDS, p82].

Set $V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(A) = 1/k! \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k} A_{j_1} \cdots A_{j_k}$ for the same ranges of indices. The product is understood as the composition of operators. Then, it follows easily from the observation of Nelson [N] and Anderson [A1, Theorem 2.4 (c)], that the equality

$$(3) \quad f_W(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} a_{l_1 \dots l_k} V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(A) \right)$$

holds if (1) converges in a suitable neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$.

In the case in which the monogenic expansion of a function about a point does not converge over all of $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$, the *Cauchy integral formula* is useful, as for the Dunford-Riesz functional calculus for a single operator.

For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ not belonging to $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$, there exists an open neighbourhood U_z of $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} such that the $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ -valued function

$$x \mapsto G_z(x) = 1/\sigma_n |z - x|^{-n-1} (\overline{z - x}),$$

for each $x \neq z$ belongs to $C^\infty(U_z)_{(n)}$. Then $\mathcal{W}_A(G_z) = (G_z)_W(A)$ may be viewed as an element of $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$.

5.1 Example. Let $n = 3$ and consider the simplest non-commuting example of the Pauli matrices,

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

viewed as linear transformations acting on $H = \mathbb{C}^2$. Set $J = (J_1, J_2, J_3)$. A calculation [A1, Theorem 4.1] shows that for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the matrix $\mathcal{W}_J(f)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_J(f) = I \int_{S_1} (f + n \cdot \nabla f) d\mu_1 + \int_{S_1} J \cdot \nabla f d\mu_1.$$

Here $S_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = t\}$ is the sphere of radius $t > 0$ centred at zero in \mathbb{R}^3 , μ_1 is the unit surface measure on S_1 and $n(x)$ is the outward unit normal at $x \in S_1$. Thus, $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_J = S_1$.

For all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^4$ such that $\omega \notin S_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathcal{W}_J(G_\omega) \in \mathcal{L}_{(3)}(\mathbb{C}_{(3)}^2)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_J(G_\omega) = I \int_{S_1} (G_\omega + n \cdot \nabla G_\omega) d\mu_1 + \int_{S_1} J \cdot \nabla G_\omega d\mu_1.$$

Let v_1, v_2 be the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{C}^2 . For each $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $(x, t) \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{tJ}(G_{(x+x_0e_0)})v_j$ is the solution of the Weyl equation

$$\partial_t u_t = -J \cdot \nabla u_t, \quad t > 0$$

with initial datum $u_0(x) = -v_j \otimes G_0(x + x_0e_0) = 1/\sigma_3 v_j \otimes (x_0e_0 - x)/|x + x_0e_0|^4$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3, x + x_0e_0 \neq 0$. The function $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{tJ}(G_\omega)v_j$ is monogenic on the set $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus S_t$.

The following statements are formulated in a context more general than that of the Weyl functional calculus.

Suppose that H is a Hilbert space over the field \mathbb{F} and $T : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a distribution with compact support K . We use the same symbol T to denote the map which sends the element $f = \sum_S f_S e_S$ of $C^\infty(K)_{(n)}$ to the element $\sum_S T(f_S) e_S$ of $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$, rather than the more descriptive notation $T \otimes I_{(n)}$. In particular, $T(f) \in \mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ is defined for all $f \in M(K, \mathbb{F}_{(n)})$.

5.2 Proposition. *Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} containing $K = \text{supp } T$. Suppose that $z \mapsto F_z$ is a continuous map from $U \setminus K$ into $C^\infty(K)_{(n)}$. If for each open set V with $\overline{V} \subset U \setminus K$, there exists a neighbourhood N_V of K , such that for each $x \in N_V$, the $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ -valued function $z \mapsto F_z(x)$ is monogenic in V , then $z \mapsto T(F_z)$ is monogenic in $U \setminus K$.*

Proof. By Cauchy's theorem for monogenic functions [BDS, Theorem 9.6], for all intervals I contained in $U \setminus K$, $\int_{\partial I} n(\omega) F_\omega(x) d\mu(\omega) = 0$ for each x belonging to some neighbourhood of K . The function $z \mapsto F_z, z \in U \setminus K$ is continuous, and so Bochner integrable in $C^\infty(K)_{(n)}$ on all boundaries ∂I of intervals I contained in $U \setminus K$. Moreover, the function $\int_{\partial I} n(\omega) F_\omega d\mu(\omega)$ belongs to $C^\infty(K)_{(n)}$ and vanishes in a neighbourhood of the support K of T .

The distribution $T : C^\infty(K)_{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ is a continuous linear map, so as observed in the introduction, the equalities

$$\int_{\partial I} n(\omega) T(F_\omega) d\mu(\omega) = T \left(\int_{\partial I} n(\omega) F_\omega d\mu(\omega) \right) = 0$$

hold. By Morera's theorem for monogenic functions [BDS, Theorem 10.4], $z \mapsto T(F_z)$ is monogenic in $U \setminus K$. \square

5.3 Corollary. *The $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ -valued function $z \mapsto \mathcal{W}_A(G_z)$ is monogenic in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$.*

5.4 Theorem. *Let T be an $\mathcal{L}(H)$ -valued distribution with compact support. Let Ω be a bounded open neighbourhood of $\text{supp } T$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and exterior unit normal $n(\omega)$ defined for all $\omega \in \partial\Omega$. Let μ be the surface measure of Ω . Suppose that f is left monogenic and g is right monogenic in a neighbourhood of the closure $\overline{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$ of Ω . Then $T(f) = \int_{\partial\Omega} T(G_\omega)n(\omega)f(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$ and $T(g) = \int_{\partial\Omega} g(\omega)n(\omega)T(G_\omega) d\mu(\omega)$.*

Proof. We consider only the case where f is left monogenic. The case where g is right monogenic is similar. The space $C^\infty(\Omega)_{(n)}$ of smooth $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ -valued functions defined on Ω is a separable Fréchet space with the topology of uniform convergence of functions, and their derivatives, on compact subsets of Ω . The continuous function $\omega \mapsto G_\omega n(\omega)f(\omega)$, $\omega \in \partial\Omega$ takes its values in $C^\infty(\Omega)_{(n)}$ and satisfies $\int_{\partial\Omega} p(G_\omega n(\omega))|f(\omega)| d\mu(\omega) < \infty$ for each continuous seminorm p on $C^\infty(\Omega)_{(n)}$, that is, it is Bochner integrable in $C^\infty(\Omega)_{(n)}$.

By the Cauchy integral theorem mentioned in section 4, the equality

$$f(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} G_\omega(x)n(\omega)f(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

holds for all x belonging to the open set Ω . Combining this equation with the observation made in the introduction, and the fact that the distribution T defines a continuous linear map (denoted by the same symbol) from $C^\infty(\Omega)_{(n)}$ into the space $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ with the uniform operator norm, it follows that the function $\omega \mapsto T(G_\omega)n(\omega)f(\omega)$, $\omega \in \partial\Omega$ is Bochner integrable in the space $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$, with the uniform norm, and the equality

$$T\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} G_\omega n(\omega)f(\omega) d\mu(\omega)\right) = \int_{\partial\Omega} T(G_\omega)n(\omega)f(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

obtains. The stated equality $T(f) = \int_{\partial\Omega} T(G_\omega)n(\omega)f(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$ therefore holds. \square

5.5 Corollary. *Let Ω be a bounded open neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and exterior unit normal $n(\omega)$ defined for all $\omega \in \partial\Omega$. Let μ be the surface measure of Ω . Suppose that f is left monogenic and g is right monogenic in a neighbourhood of the closure $\overline{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$ of Ω . Then $f_{\mathcal{W}}(A) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{W}_A(G_\omega)n(\omega)f(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$ and $g_{\mathcal{W}}(A) = \int_{\partial\Omega} g(\omega)n(\omega)\mathcal{W}_A(G_\omega) d\mu(\omega)$.*

We mention here that the extension of these results to H -valued functions is straightforward. First, if $f = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j h_j$ for monogenic functions f_j and vectors $h_j \in H$, then $T(f) = \sum_j T(f_j)h_j$ and the above equality holds. In the limit, both sides of the equation converge because $C^\infty(\text{supp } T) \otimes H$ is dense in $C^\infty(\text{supp } T; H)$.

6. THE MONOGENIC SPECTRUM

Let A be an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators acting on a Hilbert space H . For each $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\omega \neq 0$, let

$$(4) \quad G_\omega(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} W_{l_1 \dots l_k}(\omega) V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(x) \right)$$

be the monogenic power series expansion of G_ω in the region $|x| < |\omega|$ [BDS, 11.4 pp77-81]. Here $W_{l_1 \dots l_k}(\omega)$ is given for each $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\omega \neq 0$ by $(-1)^k \partial_{\omega_{l_1}} \dots \partial_{\omega_{l_k}} G_\omega(0)$. With $V_{l_1 \dots l_k}$ defined as in section 5.

It follows from formula (3) that

$$(5) \quad (G_\omega)_W(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} W_{l_1 \dots l_k}(\omega) V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(A) \right)$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $|\omega| > (1 + \sqrt{2}) \|\sum_{j=1}^n A_j e_j\|$. Formula (5) is adopted as a *definition* of the Cauchy kernel in [K, Definition 3.11]. The sum converges in $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ because of the following result.

6.1 Lemma. *The sum*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_k)} |W_{l_1 \dots l_k}(\omega)| \|V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(A)\|$$

converges uniformly for $|\omega| \geq R$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, for each $R > (1 + \sqrt{2}) \|\sum_{j=1}^n A_j e_j\|$.

Proof. The norm $\|V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(A)\|$ of $V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(A)$ is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \|A_{j_1}\| \dots \|A_{j_k}\|,$$

where the sum is over all distinguishable permutations of (l_1, \dots, l_k) . Suppose that for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, the index j appears exactly $k_j = 0, \dots, n$ times in the k -tuple (l_1, \dots, l_k) . Then $k = k_1 + \dots + k_n$ and there are $\frac{k!}{k_1! \dots k_n!}$ distinguishable permutations of (l_1, \dots, l_k) . Thus, $\|V_{l_1 \dots l_k}(A)\| \leq \frac{1}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \|A_1\|^{k_1} \dots \|A_n\|^{k_n}$. It suffices to show that for each $R > (1 + \sqrt{2}) \|\sum_{j=1}^n A_j e_j\|$, the sum

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_1! \dots k_n!} |\partial_{\omega_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{\omega_n}^{k_n} G_\omega(0)| \|A_1\|^{k_1} \dots \|A_n\|^{k_n}$$

converges uniformly for all $|\omega| \geq R$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. However, this follows from the normal convergence of the multiple power series

$$\frac{1}{|y-x|^{n-1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \langle x, \nabla_y \rangle^k \frac{1}{|y|^{n-1}}$$

for $|x| < (\sqrt{2} - 1)|y|$ [BDS, p83] and the equality $G_\omega(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \overline{D_x} \frac{1}{|\omega-x|^{n-1}}$, valid for all $\omega \neq x$. \square

We know from Corollary 5.3 that the function defined by formula (5) for all $|\omega| > (1 + \sqrt{2}) \|\sum_{j=1}^n A_j e_j\|$ is actually the restriction of an $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}(H_{(n)})$ -valued function monogenic in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$. The question remains as to whether there is a larger open set on which this function has a monogenic extension.

The spectrum of a single operator T is the set of ‘singularities’ of the resolvent function $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda I - T)^{-1}$. Similarly, the *monogenic spectrum* $\gamma(A)$ of the n -tuple A of bounded selfadjoints is the complement of the largest open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in which the function $\omega \mapsto (G_\omega)_W(A)$ is the restriction of a monogenic function with domain U .

6.2 Theorem. *Let A be an n -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators acting on a Hilbert space H . Then $\gamma(A) = \text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$.*

Proof. We have established in Corollary 5.3 that $\gamma(A) \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$. Let $x \in \gamma(A)^c$, let $U \subset \gamma(A)^c$ be an open neighbourhood of x in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose that ϕ is a smooth function with compact support in U .

Let $g, h \in H$. A comparison with [BDS, Definition 27.6] shows that the $\mathbb{F}_{(n)}$ -valued monogenic function $\omega \mapsto \langle (G_\omega)_W(A)g, h \rangle$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$, is actually the monogenic representation of the distribution $\langle \mathcal{W}_A g, h \rangle : f \mapsto \langle \mathcal{W}_A(f)g, h \rangle$, for all smooth f defined in an open neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$. Then $\langle \mathcal{W}_A g, h \rangle(G_\omega) = \langle (G_\omega)_W(A)g, h \rangle$ and by [BDS Theorem 27.7],

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_A g, h \rangle(\phi) = \lim_{y_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \int_U [\langle (G_{y+y_0 e_0})_W(A)g, h \rangle - \langle (G_{y-y_0 e_0})_W(A)g, h \rangle] \phi(y) dy.$$

Because $\omega \mapsto (G_\omega)_W(A)$ is monogenic (hence continuous) for all ω in U , the limit is zero, that is, $\langle \mathcal{W}_A g, h \rangle(\phi) = 0$ for all $g, h \in H$ and all smooth ϕ supported by U . Hence $x \in \text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A^c$, as was to be proved. \square

Remark. The significance of the Cauchy kernel $\omega \mapsto (G_\omega)_W(A)$ is that it is the monogenic representation of the distribution \mathcal{W}_A off $\text{supp } \mathcal{W}_A$ — the distribution \mathcal{W}_A represents the ‘boundary values’ on \mathbb{R}^n of the monogenic function $\omega \mapsto (G_\omega)_W(A)$.

6.3 Example. Let $A = (J_3, J_1)$. It follows by applying [A1, Theorem 2.9 (a)] to Example 5.1 that the support of \mathcal{W}_A is the closed unit disk $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ centred at zero, so $\gamma(A) = \mathbf{D}$. An explicit calculation is given in [GR, Example 2]. The *Clifford spectrum* $\sigma(\mathbf{T})$ of [K, Definition 3.1] is $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) = \{(0, 0)\}$.

REFERENCES

- [A1] R.F.V. Anderson, *The Weyl functional calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. **4** (1969), 240–267.
- [A2] ———, *On the Weyl functional calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. **6** (1970), 110–115.
- [BDS] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe and F. Sommen, *Clifford Analysis*, Research Notes in Mathematics **76**, Pitman, Boston/London/Melbourne, 1982.
- [GR] G. Greiner and W. Ricker, *Commutativity of Compact Operators*, Studia Math. **112** (1995), 109–125.
- [K] Vladimir V. Kisil, *Möbius transformations and monogenic functional calculus*, ERA Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1996), 26–33.
- [MP] A. McIntosh and A. Pryde, *A functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Indiana U. Math. J. **36** (1987), 421–439.
- [N] E. Nelson, *A functional calculus for non-commuting operators*, Functional analysis and related fields, Proceedings of a conference in honour of Professor Marshal Stone, Univ. of Chicago, May 1968 (F.E. Browder, ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1970, pp. 172–187.
- [T] M.E. Taylor, *Functions of several self-adjoint operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 91–98.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES NSW 2502 AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: B.Jefferies@unsw.edu.au

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS, COMPUTING AND ELECTRONICS, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY, NSW 2109 AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: alan@macadam.mpc.e.mq.edu.au